

**MATH 305 (SP24)**  
**Midterm Review Session with Luc :)**

Throughout this review session, I'll use  $m$  to denote the Lebesgue measure,  $\mathcal{M}$  to denote the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mathcal{B}$  to denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Problem 1.** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be finite measures on the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , and let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . Must  $\mu = \nu$ ?

**Problem 2.** Is every Lebesgue measurable set is the union of a Borel set and a Lebesgue null set?

**Problem 3.** More generally, suppose  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a measure space, let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the collection of  $\mu^*$ -null subsets of  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{C} = \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{N})$ . Suppose also that for all  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exists some  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $N \subset M$ , and  $\mu(M) = 0$ .

- (a) Show that  $D \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if there exist  $A_D \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $N_D \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $D = A_D \cup N_D$ .
- (b) So, for all  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ , we can define  $\bar{\mu}(D) = \mu(A_D)$ . Assuming that  $\bar{\mu}$  is well-defined, show that  $\bar{\mu}$  defines a measure on  $\mathcal{C}$ . (We say that  $(X, \mathcal{C}, \bar{\mu})$  is the *completion* of  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ .)

**Problem 4.** True or false:

- (a) There exists a nonempty open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m(U) = 0$ .
- (b) If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{int}(E) = \emptyset$ , then  $m^*(E) = 0$ .
- (c) If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $m^*(E) = 0$ , then  $\text{int}(E) = \emptyset$ .

**Problem 5.** Suppose  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  is a measure space such that

- (i)  $\varphi[-\pi/2, 1 - \pi/2] = e$ , and
- (ii) for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\varphi(A + r) = \varphi(A)$ .

Compute  $\varphi(\mathbb{Q}^c)$ .

**Problem 6.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ isn't continuous at } x\}$ . True or false:

- (a) If  $m(E) = 0$ , then  $f$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- (b) If  $f$  is Lebesgue measurable, then  $m(E) = 0$ .
- (c) If  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $E = \emptyset$ , then  $g \circ f$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- (d) If  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $E = \emptyset$ , then  $f \circ g$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- (e) There exists a non-Lebesgue measurable, non-negative function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that the function  $\sqrt{h}$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- (f) If  $f$  is differentiable, then  $f'$  is Lebesgue measurable.

**Problem 7.** Is every monotonic function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  **Borel** measurable?

**Problem 8.** Construct a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that isn't Borel.

**Problem 9.** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $f^{-1}(\{r\})$  is Lebesgue measurable. Must  $f$  be Lebesgue measurable?

**You're doing great! Good luck on the midterm—I believe in you! :)**